COURT NO. 1 ARMED FORCES TRIBUNAL PRINCIPAL BENCH, NEW DELHI

50.

OA 5061/2024 WITH MA 575/2025

Gp Capt Paramjeet Singh

.... Applicant

Versus

Union of India & Ors.

... Respondents

For Applicant

Mr. Arjun Panwar for Mr. Ankur

Chhibber, Advocate

For Respondents

Mr. Anil Gautam, Sr. CGSC

CORAM

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJENDRA MENON, CHAIRPERSON HON'BLE LT. GEN. C. P. MOHANTY, MEMBER (A)

ORDER 28.08.2025

Invoking jurisdiction of this Tribunal under Section 14 of the AFT Act, 2007, the applicant has filed this Application and the prayer made in para 8 reads as under:-

- "(i) Direct the Respondents to decide and dispose off the statutory complaint dated 29.04.2024 preferred by the applicant within a period of 01 weeks."
- 2. When the matter was taken up by us on 10.03.2025, we were informed that the statutory complaint of the applicant has been disposed of by a speaking order passed on 21.08.2024 and partial redressal was granted to the applicant as is reproduced by us in our order passed on 10.03.2025 in para 2 thereof.
- 3. The applicant had also filed MA No.575/2025 wherein a prayer has been made to direct the respondents to conduct the review promotion Board for considering the case of the applicant for promotion to the post of Air Commodore (Air Cmde). This was

considered and we directed the respondents to convene a review selection board as per the policy and evaluate the case of the applicant for promotion to the post of Air Cmde based on the changed profile after disposal of his statutory complaint. When the matter came up on 15.05.2025, we were informed by Mr. Anil Gautam, learned Central Govt. standing counsel that pursuant to the order earlier passed, the matter was placed before the appropriate selection board and the recommendations and decision of the Section Board have been forwarded to the Ministry of Defence on declassification.

- 4. Today, when the matter is taken up Mr. Anil Gautam informs us that the result of the Selection Board has been declassified. The applicant has not been empanelled for promotion despite the changed profile and, therefore, nothing survives in this Application.
- 5. Keeping in view the aforesaid statement made by Mr. Anil Gautam, we dispose of the OA as having been rendered infructuous for the present, granting liberty to the applicant to challenge the re-consideration made by the Review Selection Board after change in the profile in accordance with law.
- 6. No order as to costs.

[JUSTICE RAJENDRA MENON]
CHAIRPERSON

[LT. GEN. C. P. MOHANTY] MEMBER (A)

/vb/ak/